

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,770	01/08/2002	David E. Slobodin	107773-132358	7899	
25943 SCHWARE W	7590 05/03/2007 /ILLIAMSON & WYATT.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204			MATTIS, JASON E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2616		
			3		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



# **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/043,770	SLOBODIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason E. Mattis	2616	

Defens the Filipp of an Appeal Priof						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason E. Mattis	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply note with 37 CFR 1.114.	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti IE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN •			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bris	f will not be entered b	ocauca			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	- , , ,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant Amendment	(1 101-024).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached response to arguments.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		*			
13. Other:	•	- Jus	elty			
	÷ .	HUY D. VU	7			
		ERVISORY PATENT EX	CAMINER			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Application/Control Number: 10/043,770

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Advisory Action is in response to the Amendment After Final filed 4/16/07.
 Claims 21-30 and 32 are currently pending in the application.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/16/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's arguments that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of Begis with the teachings of Morley, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Specifically the motivation to combine the teachings comes both implicitly from the teachings of Morley and from the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Morley teaches combining multiple components including an adapter, an input key, a network interface, and image processor, and a projector into a single integrated appliance. One of ordinary skill in the art would realize that it is advantageous to combine these components into a single device in order to simplify the setup and installation of the device, since it is much easier to install and setup a single device than to install, connect, and setup multiple devices. Thus there is sufficient motivation to combine the teachings of Begis and Morley to render the claimed subject matter obvious.

Art Unit: 2616

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason E. Mattis whose telephone number is (571) 272-3154. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jem

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600